

United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois

Name of Assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge	James B. Zagel	Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge	
CASE NUMBER	02 C 4534	DATE	12/2/2003
CASE TITLE	PIETRYLA vs. CITY OF CHICAGO		

[In the following box (a) indicate the party filing the motion, e.g., plaintiff, defendant, 3rd party plaintiff, and (b) state briefly the nature of the motion being presented.]

MOTION:

DOCKET ENTRY:

- (1) ☐ Filed motion of [use listing in "Motion" box above.]
- (2) ☐ Brief in support of motion due _____.
- (3) ☐ Answer brief to motion due _____. Reply to answer brief due _____.
- (4) ☐ Ruling/Hearing on _____ set for _____ at _____.
- (5) ☐ Status hearing[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on _____ set for _____ at _____.
- (6) ☐ Pretrial conference[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on _____ set for _____ at _____.
- (7) ☐ Trial[set for/re-set for] on _____ at _____.
- (8) ☐ [Bench/Jury trial] [Hearing] held/continued to _____ at _____.
- (9) ☐ This case is dismissed [with/without] prejudice and without costs[by/agreement/pursuant to]
☐ FRCP4(m) ☐ Local Rule 41.1 ☐ FRCP41(a)(1) ☐ FRCP41(a)(2).
- (10) ☒ [Other docket entry] **Motion (46-1) to compel and motion (46-2) for reconsideration is granted/denied in part.**
- (11) ☒ [For further detail see order attached to the original minute order.]

<input type="checkbox"/> No notices required, advised in open court.	U.S. DISTRICT COURT CLERK 03 DEC -9 PM 3:11 Date/time received in Central Clerk's Office	number of notices	Document Number 58
<input type="checkbox"/> No notices required.		DEC 17 2003 date docketed	
<input type="checkbox"/> Notices mailed by judge's staff.		<i>ea</i> docketing deputy initials	
<input type="checkbox"/> Notified counsel by telephone.		date mailed notice	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Docketing to mail notices.		mailing deputy initials	
<input type="checkbox"/> Mail AO 450 form.			
<input type="checkbox"/> Copy to judge/magistrate judge.			
DW	courtroom deputy's initials		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

Pietryla,

Plaintiffs,

v.

City of Chicago,

Defendants.

No. 02 c 4534
Judge James B. Zagel

DOCKETED

DEC 10 2003


DEC 10 2003

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

1. With respect to the telephone records, I deny defendant Blackwood's motion to compel production of home and cellular telephone records for the years 1997-2000. Records with respect to year 2001 have been produced. I also quash any subpoena for phone records from any of plaintiff's employers except for the year 2001. In the context of this case, there is no viable evidentiary value for the phone calls made before 2001.

2. The prescription records subpoenaed by plaintiff do not infringe on any issue of medical confidentiality since they appear to establish that there are no prescriptions on file for defendant Blackwood at any of the stores listed in the subpoena.

ENTER:


James B. Zagel
United States District Judge

DATE: DEC 02 2003

58